USDC SDNY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX		DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 3/1/2021
DANIEL KLEEBERG, et al.,		
	Plaintiffs,	16-CV-9517 (LAK) (KHP)
-against-		ORDER PROVIDING ADDITIONA DETAILS ON UPCOMING ORAL
THE ESTATE OF LESTER EBER, et al.,		ARGUMENT
	Defendants.	
KATHARINE H. PARKER. United Sta	^	ge:

Per ECF No. 342, oral argument is scheduled to take place in the above-captioned action this coming Friday. In order to provide the parties with some guidance as to the scope of the upcoming argument, the court notes a set of topics the parties should be prepared to discuss below.

The topics/questions to be addressed will include, but may not be limited to:

- Whether beneficiary consent is an affirmative defense that must be specifically
 pleaded and whether Defendants did so in light of affirmative defense numbers 1, 3, 4
 or 15, or any others that may be applicable;
- The duties Lester owed to Plaintiffs at the time of the 2012 foreclosure transaction and whether those duties depend on the ownership structure of EBWLC;
- Whether the ownership structure of EBWLC materially impacts who could have consented to the 2012 foreclosure transaction;
- If the Court were to unwind the 2012 foreclosure transaction and create a constructive trust, how that ruling would impact the remaining claims in the case; and
- Whether the Trust's ownership interest in EBWLC could impact the share distribution ordered by the Surrogate Court.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: New York, New York

March 1, 2021

KATHARINE H. PARKER

United States Magistrate Judge

Kathaine H Parker